

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/627,725	07/28/2003	Ho-Jin Kweon	1567.1007-D	7093		
49455 STEIN, MCEV	49455 7590 04/11/2007 STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP		. EXAMINER			
1400 EYE STREET, NW			CREPEAU, J	CREPEAU, JONATHAN		
SUITE 300 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1745			
			,			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			04/11/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/627,725	KWEON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	-
Jonathan S. Crepeau	1745	

.	LAGIIIIII	Alt Ollic			
	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followances the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
	oliones with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filed within two month	on of the data of		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, 	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0031160		
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains. 			ecause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,,			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	-	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
non-allowable claim(s).		·			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:			•		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 11-20,22-24,38 and 39. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ls to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
REQUEST_FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER- 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 2/1/07				
13. Other:		•			
		1/5/			
,		Jonathan Crepeau			
•		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1745			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments regarding amended claims 11, 38 and 39 have been considered but are not persuasive. Applicants state that "there is no motivation or suggestion to combine JP '813 and Maegawa, since Maegawa teaches a method of preparing a positive active material along the prior art which requires a heat-treating step." However, Maegawa is only relied upon as showing an apparatus and method for providing good mixing, as stated in the rejection. The artisan would be sufficiently skilled so as to not dry (i.e., heat treat) the material of JP '813 more than necessary, or the chemical composition of the surface layer of JP '813 would be altered. Accordingly, it is submitted that there is still motivation and a reasonable expectation of success in combining Maegawa with JP '813.